

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/598,480	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHEON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ANTHONY J. ZIMMER	<b>Art Unit</b> 1793	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** before FAOM

(1) ANTHONY J. ZIMMER.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Paul Cha.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 February 2010

**Time:** 11:20 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-35

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner indicated that the response the restriction was incomplete because it did not include an election from each group of species. The examiner requested a telephonic election in lieu sending out a non-responsive response notice which would delay prosecution. Applicant agreed and elected the species specified in the office action attached hereto.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)